MARKUP OF H.R. 5077

Friday, April 29, 2016

U.S. House of Representatives,
Permanenr Select Committee on Intelligence,
Washington, D.C.

The committee met, pursuant to call, at 9:04 a.m., in Room HVC-304, the
Capitol, the Honorable Devin Nunes [chairman of the committee] presiding.

Present: Representatives.
Nunes, Miller, Conaway, King, LoBiondo, Rooney, Heck, Pompeo,
Ros-Lehtinen, Turner, Wenstrup, Stewart, Schiff, Himes, Sewell, Carson, Speier,
Quigley, Swalwell, and Murphy.

Staff Present: Damon Nelson, Staff Director; Michael Ellis, General
Counsel; Lisa Major, Professional Staff Member; Alex Kent, Congressional Fellow;
Philip Tubesing, Congressional Fellow; Steve Keith, Professional Staff Member;
Chelsey Campbell, Professional Staff Member; Andrew House, Professional Staff
Member; Geof Kahn, Professional Staff Member; Diane Rinaldo, Professional Staff
Member; Shannon Stuart, Budget Director; William Flanigan, Professional Staff Member; Doug Presley, Professional Staff Member; Scott Glabe, Deputy General Counsel; George Pappas, Senior Advisor; Crystal Weeks, Law Clerk; Nick Ciarlante, Clerk; Michael Bahar, Minority Staff Director; Tim Bergreen, Minority Deputy Staff Director; Carly Blake, Minority Budget Director; Linda Cohen, Minority Professional Staff Member; Wells Bennett, Minority Counsel; Thomas Eager, Minority Associate Professional Staff Member; Robert Minehart, Minority Senior Advisor; Amanda Rogers Thorpe, Minority Professional Staff Member; Rheanne Wirkkala, Minority Professional Staff Member; Kristin Jepson, Security Director; Jon Burks, National Security Advisor for the Speaker; and Anthony Sciascia, Office of Legislative Counsel.
THE CHAIRMAN: The committee will come to order. We have one item of business today: the markup of H.R. 5077, the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017. As a reminder, even though we are in the committee's closed hearing room, we are currently in open session.

The annual authorization bill is the primary mechanism for the committee to exercise oversight of intelligence programs and it is critical to our oversight efforts that we continue to pass an annual authorization bill.

Since 2011, this committee has done great work in enacting annual bipartisan intelligence authorization bills, and I look forward to continuing that trend this year. This bill is a bipartisan effort. We have worked closely with the minority members to develop both the legislative text and the classified Annex, and the bill is stronger for it. Importantly, the bill also continues the committee's commitment to providing the Intelligence Community the resources to accomplish its demanding mission of securing and defending America.

Overall, the funding authorized by this bill is slightly above the President's budget request, but still below last year's enacted level. The overall funding is also consistent with the bipartisan Budget Act of 2015. Furthermore, the bill funds the Military Intelligence Program in line with the levels of the committee-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2017.

The bill funds high-priority initiatives not included in the President's request, trims requested increases that lack justifications, and reflects the committee's determinations of which programs represent the best value for intelligence dollars in a challenging budget environment.

Before we consider the bill, I would like to thank all of our members -- majority and minority -- for their contributions to the committee's oversight over the past year, and especially to our subcommittee chairmen and
ranking members for their expertise on the programs within their subcommittee's jurisdictions.

The many hearings, briefings, and oversight visits all of you carry out during the year provide the inputs for the authorization and the direction in this annual bill.

I would also like to thank our professional staff for their work preparing this bill. All of our staff has worked long hours, kept their focus, and diligently prepared the committee's marks this year. I would especially like to thank our majority budget director, Shannon Stuart, and her minority counterpart, Carly Blake, and the guy who really runs things around here, and who, as of today, is the committee's new chief clerk, Nick.

THE CHAIRMAN: It is easy to forget just how extensive our oversight is across the many departments, agencies and programs within the IC. Our professional staff tracks IC programs day in and day out, maintaining consistent oversight to put together a bill we can all be proud of.

I would now yield to Mr. Schiff for any opening comments he would like to make.

MR. SCHIFF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. First, I want to thank you, Mr. Chairman. Chairman Nunes has once again proven an invaluable partner in drafting this bipartisan legislation that authorizes our most critical intelligence programs will continue to enable and promote the most thorough oversight. Mr. Chairman, you and your members have worked with us as you have done before to solve real problems and find real solutions. And I also want to join in thanking the minority and the majority staff who worked so hard to prepare this important legislation. I look forward to advancing this bill out of the committee and to voting for it on the House floor.
In particular, I am pleased that the fiscal year 2017 Intelligence Authorization Act addresses the key questions we have been asking over the course of the year. First, are we focusing too much on the threats of the day at the expense of the threats of tomorrow? Over the years we spent significant resources on counterterrorism priorities in the Middle East and South Asia, and of course, we must continue to focus on CT, particularly with the rising threat of ISIL. But we cannot disregard our near-peer competitors such as China and Russia whose increasing adventurism beyond their borders challenges our interests and influence abroad and threatens our allies and partners. I am pleased this year's IAA invests in collection analysis of these and other hard-target countries and provides resources for increased language training and capabilities.

Second, are we sufficiently protecting what we currently have, whether in cyberspace, space or at sea? In order to address our ever-increasing security challenges and the growing capabilities of our adversaries, we must continue to protect our own capabilities in space, at sea and in the cyber realm. This requires investment in supply chain security, resilience in space, and protection of our equities at sea.

I am proud that this year's IAA focuses heavily in each of these areas. Our adversaries will not simply confine themselves to terrestrial battlefields so we must ensure we are protecting the capabilities we have that extend beyond these battlefields as well.

Third, are we leveraging commercial products and services while, at the same time, making investments in revolutionary technologies that do not yet have commercial application? We have the world's most productive and innovative private sector, particularly when it comes to space. We must leverage and
support it whenever we can and I am pleased the IAA does that. At the same time, the bill recognizes the government must invest in the most advanced game-changing technologies that are not yet ready for the market.

Fourth, are we recruiting, training and developing the most effective and diverse workforce as well as leveraging foreign intelligence relationships and building foreign partner capacity? The U.S. has the most advanced, most capable, and most reliable Intelligence Community and personnel in the world. This bill identifies ways to further improve the workforce by expanding diversity in the IC, promoting travel, and supporting language training.

There is also no better reason we cannot improve our capabilities, better ensure our security, and promote global stability by enhancing our foreign partnerships. The IAA provides critical support to build the capacity of foreign liaison services, and does so strategically and in a way that helps ensure their utmost professionalism and respect for the rule of law.

Finally, the bill includes several important provisions championed by my colleagues in the minority, including Mr. Himes’ provision to reform the prepublication review process to ensure fairness and timeliness of review; Ms. Sewell’s language to improve the Centers for Academic Excellence, which will encourage geographically and demographically diverse students to join our National Security cadre, and a provision to evaluate the success of the IC’s federally-funded academic programs; Mr. Carson’s requirements for the ODNI to publish symbols associated with terrorist groups which will support programs seeking to counter violent extremism; his provision to ensure State Department and DHS CVE programs are in concert, and his requirement to receive information on the operational impacts of foreign investments in the U.S.; Ms. Speier’s
provisions to support mental health resilience among civilians in the IC, to ensure transparency by managing declassification review costs, to improve DIA's graduate education opportunities, and a requirement for study to determine whether IC contractors are being subject to reprisals for whistleblowing; Mr. Quigley's continued support to our security partners in Ukraine; Mr. Swalwell's mark requiring a report on the status of loan forgiveness and debt counseling programs across the IC, his provision with Mr. LoBiondo to track foreign fighter flows, and his requirement for a report to explore how the Departments of Homeland Security and Energy can further utilize the expertise at our national labs; and Mr. Murphy's provision to improve JCPOA monitoring, his provision to better understand the cyber vulnerabilities within our seaports and transshipment points, and ways to improve our preparedness and response to maritime cyber attacks, and his requirement for a report on maritime smuggling routes.

I should note there is one element that did not make it into the IAA this year that we were urging. For years, I pushed the administration and Congress to support the publication of an annual report on the number of combatants and noncombatants killed in lethal strikes. Despite our best efforts to ensure to a near certainty that no civilians will be killed or injured, sometimes strikes do result in civilian casualties, and it is important we acknowledge those accidents and learn from them. At the same time, greater transparency can help narrow the perception gap between what really happens and what is reported or set out as propaganda.

Soon, the administration will release the first accountability report. This is a good thing, but I also believe there is value in considering a statutory requirement to make this executive action permanent, ensuring that our
commitment to transparency extends beyond the term of the current administration. I am very proud to support this year's Intelligence Authorization Act, and once again, I thank my minority and majority colleagues for working together to authorize, as well as oversee, to support as well as scrutinize, and to help make sure that U.S. intelligence programs are lawful, efficient, effective, and in keeping with our highest traditions.

I thank you again, Mr. Chairman, and I yield back.

THE CHAIRMAN: The gentleman yields back. And I, too, want to thank Mr. Schiff for his bipartisan effort. This committee is the most sought-after committee, at least on our side. I think there are over 50 Members that want to be on the committee. I am sure it is probably similar on your side. But I think part of the reason people want to be on this committee is because we work together, and we get our work done, and probably because the cameras are off most of the time. But Mr. Schiff, thank you once again for you and your team working closely with ours. It makes -- I think we do a much better job when we work together for the entire Intelligence Community. So thank you.

Pursuant to notice, I call up H.R. 5077, the Intelligence Authorization Act for fiscal year 2017. Without objection, the bill will be considered as read and open for amendment at any point.

[The bill follows:]
H.R.

To authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2017 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. Nunes (for himself and Mr. Schiff) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on ____________________________

A BILL

To authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2017 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the United States Government, the Community Management Account, and the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This Act may be cited as the “Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2017”.

April 27, 2016 (2:39 p.m.)
Table of Contents—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

See. 1. Short title; table of contents.
See. 2. Definitions.

Title I—Intelligence Activities

See. 102. Classified schedule of authorizations.
See. 103. Personnel ceiling adjustments.
See. 104. Intelligence Community Management Account.

Title II—Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System

See. 201. Authorization of appropriations.

Title III—General Provisions

See. 301. Increase in employee compensation and benefits authorized by law.
See. 302. Restriction on conduct of intelligence activities.
See. 303. Authorization of appropriations for Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board.
See. 304. Modification of certain whistleblowing procedures.
See. 305. Reports on major defense intelligence acquisition programs.
See. 306. Modifications to certain requirements for construction of facilities.
See. 307. Information on activities of Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board.
See. 308. Clarification of authorization of certain activities of the Department of Energy.
See. 309. Technical correction to Executive Schedule.
See. 310. Maximum amount charged for declassification reviews.

Title IV—Matters Relating to Elements of the Intelligence Community

Subtitle A—Office of the Director of National Intelligence

See. 401. Analyses and impact statements by Director of National Intelligence regarding actions by Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States.
See. 403. Assistance for governmental entities and private entities in recognizing online violent extremist content.

Subtitle B—Central Intelligence Agency and Other Elements

See. 411. Enhanced death benefits for employees of the Central Intelligence Agency.
See. 413. Clarification of authority, direction, and control over the information assurance directorate of the National Security Agency.
See. 414. Living quarters allowance for employees of the Defense Intelligence Agency.
Sec. 415. Plan on assumption of certain weather missions by the National Reconnaissance Office.

Sec. 416. Modernization of security clearance information technology architecture.

TITLE V—MATTERS RELATING TO UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA

Sec. 501. Declassification of information on past terrorist activities of detainees transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, after signing of Executive Order 13492.

TITLE VI—REPORTS AND OTHER MATTERS


Sec. 602. Intelligence community reporting to Congress on foreign fighter flows.

Sec. 603. Report on information relating to academic programs, scholarships, fellowships, and internships sponsored, administered, or used by the intelligence community.

Sec. 604. Report on cybersecurity threats to seaports of the United States and maritime shipping.

Sec. 605. Report on counter-messaging activities.

Sec. 606. Report on reprisals against contractors of the intelligence community.

1 SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.

2 In this Act:

3 (1) CONGRESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES.—The term “congressional intelligence committees” means—

4 (A) the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

5 (B) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

6 (2) INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.—The term “intelligence community” has the meaning given that term in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(4)).
TITLE I—INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

SEC. 101. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2017 for the conduct of the intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the following elements of the United States Government:

1. The Office of the Director of National Intelligence.
2. The Central Intelligence Agency.
3. The Department of Defense.
4. The Defense Intelligence Agency.
5. The National Security Agency.
6. The Department of the Army, the Department of the Navy, and the Department of the Air Force.
7. The Coast Guard.
8. The Department of State.
9. The Department of the Treasury.
10. The Department of Energy.
11. The Department of Justice.
13. The Drug Enforcement Administration.
(15) The National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency.


SEC. 102. CLASSIFIED SCHEDULE OF AUTHORIZATIONS.

(a) SPECIFICATIONS OF AMOUNTS AND PERSONNEL LEVELS.—The amounts authorized to be appropriated under section 101 and, subject to section 103, the authorized personnel ceilings as of September 30, 2017, for the conduct of the intelligence activities of the elements listed in paragraphs (1) through (16) of section 101, are those specified in the classified Schedule of Authorizations prepared to accompany this Act.

(b) AVAILABILITY OF CLASSIFIED SCHEDULE OF AUTHORIZATIONS.—

(1) AVAILABILITY.—The classified Schedule of Authorizations referred to in subsection (a) shall be made available to the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate, the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives, and to the President.

(2) DISTRIBUTION BY THE PRESIDENT.—Subject to paragraph (3), the President shall provide for suitable distribution of the classified Schedule of Authorizations, or of appropriate portions of the Schedule, within the executive branch.
(3) LIMITS ON DISCLOSURE.—The President shall not publicly disclose the classified Schedule of Authorizations or any portion of such Schedule except—

(A) as provided in section 601(a) of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007 (50 U.S.C. 3306(a));

(B) to the extent necessary to implement the budget; or

(C) as otherwise required by law.

SEC. 103. PERSONNEL CEILING ADJUSTMENTS.

(a) AUTHORITY FOR INCREASES.—The Director of National Intelligence may authorize employment of civilian personnel in excess of the number authorized for fiscal year 2017 by the classified Schedule of Authorizations referred to in section 102(a) if the Director of National Intelligence determines that such action is necessary to the performance of important intelligence functions, except that the number of personnel employed in excess of the number authorized under such section may not, for any element of the intelligence community, exceed 3 percent of the number of civilian personnel authorized under such schedule for such element.

(b) TREATMENT OF CERTAIN PERSONNEL.—The Director of National Intelligence shall establish guidelines
that govern, for each element of the intelligence community, the treatment under the personnel levels authorized under section 102(a), including any exemption from such personnel levels, of employment or assignment in—

(1) a student program, trainee program, or similar program;

(2) a reserve corps or as a reemployed annuitant; or

(3) details, joint duty, or long-term, full-time training.

(c) NOTICE TO CONGRESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES.—The Director of National Intelligence shall notify the congressional intelligence committees in writing at least 15 days prior to each exercise of an authority described in subsection (a).

SEC. 104. INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT.

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated for the Intelligence Community Management Account of the Director of National Intelligence for fiscal year 2017 the sum of $518,596,000. Within such amount, funds identified in the classified Schedule of Authorizations referred to in section 102(a) for advanced research and development shall remain available until September 30, 2018.
(b) Authorized Personnel Levels.—The elements within the Intelligence Community Management Account of the Director of National Intelligence are authorized 787 positions as of September 30, 2017. Personnel serving in such elements may be permanent employees of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence or personnel detailed from other elements of the United States Government.

(c) Classified Authorizations.—

(1) Authorization of Appropriations.—In addition to amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Intelligence Community Management Account by subsection (a), there are authorized to be appropriated for the Community Management Account for fiscal year 2017 such additional amounts as are specified in the classified Schedule of Authorizations referred to in section 102(a). Such additional amounts for advanced research and development shall remain available until September 30, 2018.

(2) Authorization of Personnel.—In addition to the personnel authorized by subsection (b) for elements of the Intelligence Community Management Account as of September 30, 2017, there are authorized such additional personnel for the Com-
Community Management Account as of that date as are
specified in the classified Schedule of Authorizations
referred to in section 102(a).

TITLE II—CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM

SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

There is authorized to be appropriated for the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability Fund for fiscal year 2017 the sum of $514,000,000.

TITLE III—GENERAL PROVISIONS

SEC. 301. INCREASE IN EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS AUTHORIZED BY LAW.

Appropriations authorized by this Act for salary, pay, retirement, and other benefits for Federal employees may be increased by such additional or supplemental amounts as may be necessary for increases in such compensation or benefits authorized by law.

SEC. 302. RESTRICTION ON CONDUCT OF INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES.

The authorization of appropriations by this Act shall not be deemed to constitute authority for the conduct of
any intelligence activity which is not otherwise authorized by the Constitution or the laws of the United States.

SEC. 303. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR PRIVACY AND CIVIL LIBERTIES OVERSIGHT BOARD.

(a) REQUIREMENT FOR AUTHORIZATIONS.—Subsection (m) of section 1061 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (42 U.S.C. 2000ee(m)) is amended to read as follows:

"(m) FUNDING.—

""(1) SPECIFIC AUTHORIZATION REQUIRED.— Appropriated funds available to the Board may be obligated or expended to carry out activities under this section only if such funds were specifically authorized by Congress for use for such activities for such fiscal year.

""(2) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term 'specifically authorized by Congress' has the meaning given that term in section 504(e) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3094(e)).”.

(b) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board for fiscal year 2017 the sum of $10,081,000 to carry out the activities of the Board under
section 1061 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism
Prevention Act of 2004 (42 U.S.C. 2000ee(m)).

SEC. 304. MODIFICATION OF CERTAIN WHISTLEBLOWING
PROCEDURES.

(a) CLARIFICATION OF WHISTLEBLOWING PROCEDURES AVAILABLE TO CERTAIN PERSONNEL.—Sub-
section (a)(1)(A) of section 8H of the Inspector General
Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) is amended by inserting after
"Security Agency," the following: "including any such em-
ployee who is assigned or detailed to a combatant com-
mand or other element of the Federal Government, ".

(b) CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.—

(1) ROLE OF DIRECTOR.—Section 17(d)(5) of
the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50
U.S.C. 3517(d)(5)) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (B)—

(i) by striking clause (ii);

(ii) by striking "(i) Not" and insert-
ing "Not"; and

(iii) by striking "to the Director" and
inserting "to the intelligence committees";

and

(B) in subparagraph (D)—
(i) in clause (i), by striking “the Director” and inserting “the intelligence committees”; and

(ii) in clause (ii)—

(I) in subclause (I), by striking “the Director, through the Inspector General,” and inserting “the Inspector General”; and

(II) in subclause (II), striking “the Director, through the Inspector General,” and inserting “the Inspector General, in consultation with the Director,”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(A) Section 17(d)(5) of such Act is further amended—

(i) by striking subparagraph (C); and

(ii) by redesignating subparagraphs (D) through (H) as subparagraphs (C) through (G), respectively.

(B) Section 3001(j)(1)(C)(ii) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (50 U.S.C. 3341(j)(1)(C)(ii)) is amended by striking “subparagraphs (A), (D), and
(H)" and inserting "subparagraphs (A), (C),
and (G)."

(e) OTHER ELEMENTS OF INTELLIGENCE COMMU-
NITY.—

(1) ROLE OF HEADS.—Section 8H of the In-
spector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) is
amended—

(A) in subsection (b)—

(i) by striking paragraph (2);

(ii) by striking "(1) Not" and insert-
ing "Not"; and

(iii) by striking "to the head of the es-
tablishment" and inserting "to the intel-
ligence committees"; and

(B) in subsection (d)—

(i) in paragraph (1), by striking "the
head of the establishment" and inserting
"the intelligence committees"; and

(ii) in paragraph (2)—

(I) in subparagraph (A), by strik-
ing "the head of the establishment,
through the Inspector General," and
inserting "the Inspector General"; and
(II) in subparagraph (B), by striking "the head of the establishment, through the Inspector General," and inserting "the Inspector General, in consultation with the head of the establishment,"

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 8H of such Act is further amended—

(A) by striking subsection (e);

(B) by redesignating subsections (d) through (i) as subsections (c) through (h), respectively; and

(C) in subsection (e), as so redesignated, by striking "subsections (a) through (e)" and inserting "subsections (a) through (d)".

(d) OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 103H(k)(5) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3033(k)(5)) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (B), by striking "to the Director" and inserting "to the congressional intelligence committees"; and

(B) in subparagraph (D)—
(i) in clause (i), by striking “the Director” and inserting “the congressional intelligence committees”; and

(ii) in clause (ii)—

(I) in subclause (I), by striking “the Director, through the Inspector General,” and inserting “the Inspector General”; and

(II) in subclause (II), by striking “the Director, through the Inspector General,” and inserting “the Inspector General, in consultation with the Director,”.

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 103H(k)(5) of such Act is further amended—

(B) by striking subparagraph (C); and

(C) by redesignating subparagraphs (D) through (I) as subparagraphs (C) through (H), respectively;

(e) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—None of the amendments made by this section may be construed to prohibit or otherwise affect the authority of an Inspector General of an element of the intelligence community, the Inspector General of the Central Intelligence Agency, or the Inspector General of the Intelligence Community to notify the
head of the element of the intelligence community, the Di-
rector of the Central Intelligence Agency, or the Director
of National Intelligence, as the case may be, of a com-
plaint or information otherwise authorized by law.

SEC. 305. REPORTS ON MAJOR DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE
ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The National Security Act of
1947 (50 U.S.C. 3001 et seq.) is amended by inserting
after section 506J the following new section:

"SEC. 506K. REPORTS ON MAJOR DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE
ACQUISITION PROGRAMS AT EACH MILE-
STONE APPROVAL.

"(a) REPORT ON MILESTONE A.—Not later than 15
days after granting Milestone A or equivalent approval for
a major defense intelligence acquisition program, the mile-
stone decision authority for the program shall submit to
the appropriate congressional committees a report con-
taining a brief summary of the following:

"(1) The estimated cost and schedule for the
program established by the military department con-
cerned, including—

"(A) the dollar values estimated for the
program acquisition unit cost and total life-
cycle cost; and
“(B) the planned dates for each program
milestone and initial operational capability.

“(2) The independent estimated cost for the
program established pursuant to section 2334(a)(6)
of title 10, United States Code, and any independent
estimated schedule for the program, including—

“(A) the dollar values estimated for the
program acquisition unit cost and total life-
cycle cost; and

“(B) the planned dates for each program
milestone and initial operational capability.

“(3) A summary of the technical risks, includ-
ing cybersecurity risks and supply chain risks, asso-
ciated with the program, as determined by the mili-
tary department concerned, including identification
of any critical technologies that need to be matured.

“(4) A summary of the sufficiency review con-
ducted by the Director of Cost Assessment and Pro-
gram Evaluation of the Department of Defense of
the analysis of alternatives performed for the pro-
gram (as referred to in section 2366a(b)(6) of such
title).

“(5) Any other information the milestone deci-
sion authority considers relevant.
“(b) REPORT ON MILESTONE B.—Not later than 15 days after granting Milestone B or equivalent approval for a major defense intelligence acquisition program, the milestone decision authority for the program shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report containing a brief summary of the following:

“(1) The estimated cost and schedule for the program established by the military department concerned, including—

“(A) the dollar values estimated for the program acquisition unit cost, average procurement unit cost, and total life-cycle cost; and

“(B) the planned dates for each program milestone, initial operational test and evaluation, and initial operational capability.

“(2) The independent estimated cost for the program established pursuant to section 2334(a)(6) of title 10, United States Code, and any independent estimated schedule for the program, including—

“(A) the dollar values estimated for the program acquisition unit cost, average procurement unit cost, and total life-cycle cost; and

“(B) the planned dates for each program milestone, initial operational test and evaluation, and initial operational capability.
“(3) A summary of the technical risks, including cybersecurity risks and supply chain risks, associated with the program, as determined by the military department concerned, including identification of any critical technologies that have not been successfully demonstrated in a relevant environment.

“(4) A summary of the sufficiency review conducted by the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation of the analysis of alternatives performed for the program pursuant to section 2366a(b)(6) of such title.

“(5) A statement of whether the preliminary design review for the program described in section 2366b(a)(1) of such title has been completed.

“(6) Any other information the milestone decision authority considers relevant.

“(c) REPORT ON MILESTONE C.—Not later than 15 days after granting Milestone C or equivalent approval for a major defense intelligence acquisition program, the milestone decision authority for the program shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report containing a brief summary of the following:

“(1) The estimated cost and schedule for the program established by the military department concerned, including—
“(A) the dollar values estimated for the program acquisition unit cost, average procurement unit cost, and total life-cycle cost; and

“(B) the planned dates for initial operational test and evaluation and initial operational capability.

“(2) The independent estimated cost for the program established pursuant to section 2334(a)(6) of title 10, United States Code, and any independent estimated schedule for the program, including—

“(A) the dollar values estimated for the program acquisition unit cost, average procurement unit cost, and total life-cycle cost; and

“(B) the planned dates for initial operational test and evaluation and initial operational capability.

“(3) The cost and schedule estimates approved by the milestone decision authority for the program.

“(4) A summary of the production, manufacturing, and fielding risks, including cybersecurity risks and supply chain risks, associated with the program.

“(5) Any other information the milestone decision authority considers relevant.
"(d) Initial Operating Capability or Full Operating Capability.—Not later than 15 days after a major defense intelligence acquisition program reaches initial operating capability or full operating capability, the milestone decision authority for the program shall notify the appropriate congressional committees of the program reaching such capability.

"(e) Additional Information.—At the request of any of the appropriate congressional committees, the milestone decision authority shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees further information or underlying documentation for the information in a report submitted under subsection (a), (b), or (e), including the independent cost and schedule estimates and the independent technical risk assessments referred to in those subsections.

"(f) Nonduplication of Effort.—If any information required under this section has been included in another report or assessment previously submitted to the congressional intelligence committees under sections 506A, 506C, or 506E, the milestone decision authority may provide a list of such reports and assessments at the time of submitting a report required under this section instead of including such information in such report.

"(g) Definitions.—In this section:
“(1) The term ‘appropriate congressional committees’ means the congressional intelligence committees and the congressional defense committees (as defined in section 101(a)(16) of title 10, United States Code).

“(2) The term ‘major defense intelligence acquisition program’ means a major defense acquisition program (as defined in section 2430 of title 10, United States Code) that relates to intelligence or intelligence-related activities.

“(3) The term ‘Milestone A approval’ has the meaning given that term in section 2366a(d) of title 10, United States Code.

“(4) The terms ‘Milestone B approval’ and ‘Milestone C approval’ have the meaning given those terms in section 2366(e) of such title.

“(5) The term ‘milestone decision authority’ has the meaning given that term in section 2366a(d) of such title.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents in the first section of the National Security Act of 1947 is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 506J the following new item:

“See. 506K. Reports on major defense intelligence acquisition programs at each milestone approval.”
SEC. 306. MODIFICATIONS TO CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF FACILITIES.

(a) INCLUSION IN BUDGET REQUESTS OF CERTAIN PROJECTS.—Section 8131 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1995 (Public Law 103–335; 50 U.S.C. 3303) is repealed.

(b) NOTIFICATION.—Section 602(a)(2) of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–359; 50 U.S.C. 3304(a)(2)) is amended by striking “improvement project to” and inserting “project for the improvement, repair, or modification of”.

SEC. 307. INFORMATION ON ACTIVITIES OF PRIVACY AND CIVIL LIBERTIES OVERSIGHT BOARD.

Section 1061(d) of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 (42 U.S.C. 2000ee(d)) is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(5) INFORMATION.—

“(A) ACTIVITIES.—In addition to the reports submitted to Congress under subsection (e)(1)(B), the Board shall ensure that each official and congressional committee specified in subparagraph (B) is kept fully and currently informed of the activities of the Board, including any significant anticipated activities.
“(B) OFFICIALS AND CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES SPECIFIED.—The officials and congressional committees specified in this sub-paragraph are the following:

“(i) The Director of National Intelligence.

“(ii) The head of any element of the intelligence community (as defined in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(4)) the activities of which are, or are anticipated to be, the subject of the review or advice of the Board.

“(iii) The Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.”.

SEC. 308. CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN ACTIVITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY.

Funds appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for intelligence and intelligence-related activities of the Department of Energy shall be deemed to be authorized to be appropriated for such activities, including for purposes of

SEC. 309. TECHNICAL CORRECTION TO EXECUTIVE SCHEDULE.

Section 5313 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking the item relating to “Director of the National Counter Proliferation Center.”.

SEC. 310. MAXIMUM AMOUNT CHARGED FOR DECLASSIFICATION REVIEWS.

In reviewing and processing a request by a person for the mandatory declassification of information pursuant to Executive Order 13526, a successor executive order, or any other provision of law, the head of an element of the intelligence community—

(1) may not charge the person reproduction fees in excess of the amount of fees that the head would charge the person for reproduction required in the course of processing a request for information under section 552 of title 5, United States Code (commonly referred to as the “Freedom of Information Act”); and

(2) may waive or reduce any processing fees in the same manner as the head waives or reduces fees under such section 552.
TITLE IV—MATTERS RELATING TO ELEMENTS OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY
Subtitle A—Office of the Director of National Intelligence

SEC. 401. ANALYSES AND IMPACT STATEMENTS BY DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE REGARDING ACTIONS BY COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN INVESTMENT IN THE UNITED STATES.

Section 721(b)(4) of the Defense Production Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 4565) is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

"(E) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESSIONAL INTELLIGENCE COMMITTEES.—Not later than 5 days after the completion of a review or an investigation of a covered transaction under this subsection that concludes action under this section, the Director shall submit to the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate an analysis under subparagraph (A) relating to such covered transaction previously provided to the Committee, including any supplements or
amendments to such analysis made by the Di-
rector.

"(F) IMPACT STATEMENTS.—Not later
than 60 days after the completion of a review
or an investigation of a covered transaction
under this subsection that concludes action
under this section, the Director shall determine
whether the covered transaction will have an
operational impact on the intelligence commu-
nity, and, if so, shall submit a report on such
impact to the Permanent Select Committee on
Intelligence of the House of Representatives
and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the
Senate. Each such report shall—

"(i) describe the operational impact of
the covered transaction on the intelligence
community; and

"(ii) describe any actions that have
been or will be taken to mitigate such im-
pact.”.

SEC. 402. NATIONAL COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND SECU-
RITY CENTER.

(a) REDESIGNATION OF OFFICE OF NATIONAL
COUNTERINTELLIGENCE EXECUTIVE.—Section 904 of the
Counterintelligence Enhancement Act of 2002 (50 U.S.C. 3383) is amended—

(1) by striking “Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive” each place it appears (including in the section heading) and inserting “National Counterintelligence and Security Center”;

(2) by striking “National Counterintelligence Executive” each place it appears and inserting “Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center”; and

(3) in the headings of subsections (b) and (c), by striking “of Office” both places it appears and inserting “Center”;

(4) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (5)(C), by striking “by the Office” and inserting “by the Center”; and

(B) in paragraph (6), by striking “that the Office” and inserting “that the Center”;

(5) in subsection (f)(1), by striking “by the Office” and inserting “by the Center”;

(6) in subsection (g), by striking “of the Office” and inserting “of the Center”; and

(7) in subsection (h), by striking “of the Office” each place it appears and inserting “of the Center”.

(b) Redesignation of National Counterintelligence Executive.—Section 902 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 3382) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (a) and inserting the following new subsection:

"(a) Establishment.—There shall be a Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center (referred to in this section as ‘the Director’), who shall be appointed by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate."

(2) by striking "National Counterintelligence Executive" each place it appears (including the section heading) and inserting "Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center"; and

(3) by striking "Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive" each place it appears and inserting "National Counterintelligence and Security Center".

(c) Conforming Amendments.—


(A) in section 102A(f)(2), by inserting after "Counterterrorism Center" the following:

", the National Counterproliferation Center,
and the National Counterintelligence and Security Center,”;

(B) in section 103(c)(8), by striking “National Counterintelligence Executive (including the Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive)” and inserting “Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center”;

and

(C) in section 103F, by striking “National Counterintelligence Executive” each place it appears (including in the headings) and inserting “Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center”.

(2) INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995.—Section 811 of the Counterintelligence and Security Enhancements Act of 1994 (title VIII of Public Law 103–359; 50 U.S.C. 3381) is amended—

(A) in subsections (b) and (c)(1), by striking “The National Counterintelligence Executive” and inserting “The Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center”;

and

(B) in subsection (d)(1)(B)(ii)—
(i) by striking "to the National Counterintelligence Executive" and inserting "to the Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center"; and
(ii) by striking "Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive" and inserting "National Counterintelligence and Security Center"; and

(3) INTELLIGENCE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2004.—Section 341(b) of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–177; 28 USC 519 note) is amended by striking "Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive" and inserting "National Counterintelligence and Security Center".

(d) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections in the first section of the National Security Act of 1947 is amended by striking the item relating to section 103F and inserting the following:

"Sec. 103F. Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center."

(e) CONFORMING STYLE.—Any new language inserted or added to a provision of law by the amendments made by this section shall conform to the typeface and typestyle of the matter in which the language is so inserted or added.
(f) TECHNICAL EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) of section 401 of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016 (division M of Public Law 114–113) shall not take effect, or, if the date of the enactment of this Act is on or after the effective date specified in subsection (b) of such section, such amendment shall be deemed to not have taken effect.

SEC. 403. ASSISTANCE FOR GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES AND PRIVATE ENTITIES IN RECOGNIZING ONLINE VIOLENT EXTREMIST CONTENT.

(a) ASSISTANCE TO RECOGNIZE ONLINE VIOLENT EXTREMIST CONTENT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall publish on a publicly available Internet website a list of all logos, symbols, insignia, and other markings commonly associated with, or adopted by, an organization designated by the Secretary of State as a foreign terrorist organization under section 219(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1189(a)).

(b) UPDATES.—The Director shall update the list published under subsection (a) every 180 days or more frequently as needed.
Subtitle B—Central Intelligence
Agency and Other Elements

SEC. 411. ENHANCED DEATH BENEFITS FOR EMPLOYEES
OF THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.

Section 11 of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of
1949 (50 U.S.C. 3511) is amended to read as follows:

"BENEFITS AVAILABLE IN EVENT OF THE DEATH OF AN
EMPLOYEE

"SEC. 11. (a) AUTHORITY.—The Director may pay
depth benefits substantially similar to those authorized for
members of the Foreign Service pursuant to the Foreign
Service Act of 1980 (22 U.S.C. 3901 et seq.) or any other
provision of law. The Director may adjust the eligibility
for death benefits as necessary to meet the unique require-
ments of the mission of the Agency.

"(b) REGULATIONS.—Regulations issued pursuant to
this section shall be submitted to the Permanent Select
Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives
and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate
before such regulations take effect."

SEC. 412. PAY AND RETIREMENT AUTHORITIES OF THE IN-
PECTOR GENERAL OF THE CENTRAL INTEL-
IGENCE AGENCY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 17(e)(7) of the Central
Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 3517(e)(7))
is amended by adding at the end the following new sub-
paragraph:

"(C)(i) The Inspector General may designate an offi-
cer or employee appointed in accordance with subpara-
graph (A) as a law enforcement officer solely for purposes
of subchapter III of chapter 83 or chapter 84 of title 5,
United States Code, if such officer or employee is ap-
pointed to a position with responsibility for investigating
suspected offenses against the criminal laws of the United
States.

"(ii) In carrying out clause (i), the Inspector General
shall ensure that any authority under such clause is exer-
cised in a manner consistent with section 3307 of title 5,
United States Code, as it relates to law enforcement offi-
cers.

"(iii) For purposes of applying sections 3307(d),
8335(b), and 8425(b) of title 5, United States Code, the
Inspector General may exercise the functions, powers, and
duties of an agency head or appointing authority with re-
spect to the Office."

(b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Subparagraph (C) of
section 17(e)(7) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of
1949 (50 U.S.C. 3517(e)(7)), as added by subsection (a),
may not be construed to confer on the Inspector General
of the Central Intelligence Agency, or any other officer
or employee of the Agency, any police or law enforcement
or internal security functions or authorities.

SEC. 413. CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORITY, DIRECTION, AND
CONTROL OVER THE INFORMATION ASSUR-
ANCE DIRECTORATE OF THE NATIONAL SE-
CURITY AGENCY.

Section 142(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is
amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B), by striking the semi-
colon and inserting “; and”;

(2) in subparagraph (C), by striking “; and” and
inserting a period; and

(3) by striking subparagraph (D).

SEC. 414. LIVING QUARTERS ALLOWANCE FOR EMPLOYEES
OF THE DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY.

(a) PROHIBITION.—Notwithstanding sections 1603
and 1605 of title 10, United States Code, and subchapter
III of chapter 59 of title 5, a civilian employee of the De-
fense Intelligence Agency who is assigned to a directorate
of a geographic combatant command that is
headquartered outside of the United States may not re-
ceive a living quarters allowance.

(b) APPLICATION.—Subsection (a) shall apply with
respect to a pay period beginning on or after the date that
is one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.
SEC. 415. PLAN ON ASSUMPTION OF CERTAIN WEATHER MISSIONS BY THE NATIONAL RECONNAISSANCE OFFICE.

(a) PLAN.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director of the National Reconnaissance Office shall develop a plan for the National Reconnaissance Office to address how to carry out covered space-based environmental monitoring missions. Such plan shall include—

(A) a description of the related national security requirements for such missions;

(B) a description of the appropriate manner to meet such requirements; and

(C) the amount of funds that would be necessary to be transferred from the Air Force to the National Reconnaissance Office during fiscal years 2018 through 2022 to carry out such plan.

(2) ACTIVITIES.—In developing the plan under paragraph (1), the Director may conduct pre-acquisition activities, including with respect to requests for information, analyses of alternatives, study contracts, modeling and simulation, and other activities the Director determines necessary to develop such plan.
(3) SUBMISSION.—Not later than the date on which the President submits to Congress the budget for fiscal year 2018 under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, the Director shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees the plan under paragraph (1).

(b) INDEPENDENT COST ESTIMATE.—The Director of the Cost Assessment Improvement Group of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, in coordination with the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation of the Department of Defense, shall certify to the appropriate congressional committees that the amounts of funds identified under subsection (a)(1)(C) as being necessary to transfer are appropriate and include funding for positions and personnel to support program office costs.

c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term "appropriate congressional committees" means—

(A) the congressional intelligence committees; and

(B) the congressional defense committees (as defined in section 101(a)(16) of title 10, United States Code).

(2) The term "covered space-based environmental monitoring missions" means the acquisition
programs necessary to meet the national security requirements for cloud characterization and theater weather imagery.

SEC. 416. MODERNIZATION OF SECURITY CLEARANCE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ARCHITECTURE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Director of National Intelligence shall support the Secretary of Defense in the efforts of the Secretary to develop and implement an information technology system (in this section referred to as the "System") to—

(1) modernize and sustain the security clearance information architecture of the National Background Investigations Bureau and the Department of Defense;

(2) support decision-making processes for the evaluation and granting of personnel security clearances;

(3) improve cyber security capabilities with respect to sensitive security clearance data and processes;

(4) reduce the complexity and cost of the security clearance process;

(5) provide information to managers on the financial and administrative costs of the security clearance process;
(6) strengthen the ties between counterintelligence and personnel security communities; and
(7) improve system standardization in the security clearance process.

(b) GUIDANCE.—The Director shall support the Secretary in the efforts of the Secretary to issue guidance establishing the respective roles, responsibilities, and obligations of the Secretary and the Director with respect to the development and implementation of the System.

TITLE V—MATTERS RELATING TO UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA

SEC. 501. DECLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION ON PAST TERRORIST ACTIVITIES OF DETAINNEES TRANSFERRED FROM UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA, AFTER SIGNING OF EXECUTIVE ORDER 13492.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall—

(1) in the manner described in the classified annex that accompanies this Act—

(A) complete a declassification review of intelligence reports prepared by the National
Counterterrorism Center prior to Periodic Review Board sessions or detainee transfers on the past terrorist activities of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, who were transferred or released from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, after the signing of Executive Order 13492 (relating to the closure of the detention facility at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay); and

(B) make available to the public any information declassified as a result of the declassification review; and

(2) submit to the congressional intelligence committees a report setting forth—

(A) the results of the declassification review; and

(B) if any information covered by the declassification review was not declassified pursuant to the review, a justification for the determination not to declassify such information.

(b) PAST TERRORIST ACTIVITIES.—For purposes of this section, the past terrorist activities of an individual shall include the terrorist activities conducted by the individual before the transfer of the individual to the detention
facility at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, including, at a minimum, the following:

1. The terrorist organization, if any, with which affiliated.
2. The terrorist training, if any, received.
3. The role in past terrorist attacks against the interests or allies of the United States.
4. The direct responsibility, if any, for the death of citizens of the United States or members of the Armed Forces.
5. Any admission of any matter specified in paragraphs (1) through (4).

**TITLE VI—REPORTS AND OTHER MATTERS**

**SEC. 601. REPORT ON INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY EMPLOYEES DETAILED TO NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL.**

Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees a report listing, by year, the number of employees of an element of the intelligence community who have been detailed to the National Security Council during the 10-year period preceding the date of the report.
SEC. 602. INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY REPORTING TO CONGRESS ON FOREIGN FIGHTER FLOWS.

(a) REPORTS REQUIRED.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every 180 days thereafter, the Director of National Intelligence, consistent with the protection of intelligence sources and methods, shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees a report on foreign fighter flows to and from terrorist safe havens abroad.

(b) CONTENTS.—Each report submitted under subsection (a) shall include, with respect to each terrorist safe haven, the following:

(1) The total number of foreign fighters who have traveled or are suspected of having traveled to the terrorist safe haven since 2011, including the countries of origin of such foreign fighters.

(2) The total number of United States citizens present in the terrorist safe haven.

(3) The total number of foreign fighters who have left the terrorist safe haven or whose whereabouts are unknown.

(c) FORM.—The reports submitted under subsection (a) may be submitted in classified form. If such a report is submitted in classified form, such report shall also include an unclassified summary.
(d) SUNSET.—The requirement to submit reports under subsection (a) shall terminate on the date that is two years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 603. REPORT ON INFORMATION RELATING TO ACADEMIC PROGRAMS, SCHOLARSHIPS, FELLOWSHIPS, AND INTERNSHIPS SPONSORED, ADMINISTERED, OR USED BY THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of National Intelligence shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees a report by the intelligence community regarding covered academic programs. Such report shall include—

(1) a description of the extent to which the Director and the heads of the elements of the intelligence community independently collect information on covered academic programs, including with respect to—

(A) the number of applicants for such programs;

(B) the number of individuals who have participated in such programs; and

(C) the number of individuals who have participated in such programs and were hired
by an element of the intelligence community
after completing such program;

(2) to the extent that the Director and the
defendants independently collect the information de-
described in paragraph (1), a chart, table, or other
compilation illustrating such information for each
covered academic program and element of the intel-
ligence community, as appropriate, during the three-
year period preceding the date of the report;

(3) to the extent that the Director and the
heads do not independently collect the information
described in paragraph (1) as of the date of the re-
port—

(A) whether the Director and the heads
can begin collecting such information during
fiscal year 2017; and

(B) the personnel, tools, and other re-
sources required by the Director and the heads
to independently collect such information.

(b) COVERED ACADEMIC PROGRAMS DEFINED.—In
this section, the term "covered academic programs"
means—

(1) the Federal Cyber Scholarship-for-Service
Program under section 302 of the Cybersecurity En-
hancement Act of 2014 (15 U.S.C. 7442);
(2) the National Security Education Program under the David L. Boren National Security Edu-
cation Act of 1991 (50 U.S.C. 1901 et seq.);
(3) the Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation Defense Education Program under section 2192a of title 10, United States Code;
(4) the National Centers of Academic Excel-
ence in Information Assurance and Cyber Defense of the National Security Agency and the Depart-
ment of Homeland Security; and
(5) any other academic program, scholarship program, fellowship program, or internship program sponsored, administered, or used by an element of the intelligence community.

SEC. 604. REPORT ON CYBERSECURITY THREATS TO SEA-
PORTS OF THE UNITED STATES AND MARI-
TIME SHIPPING.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Homeland Security for Intelligence and Analysis, in con-
sultation with the Director of National Intelligence, shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees a re-
port on the cybersecurity threats to, and the cyber vulnerabilities within, the software, communications net-
works, computer networks, or other systems employed
by—

(1) entities conducting significant operations at
seaports in the United States;

(2) the maritime shipping concerns of the
United States; and

(3) entities conducting significant operations at
transshipment points in the United States.

(b) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The report under sub-
section (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of any recent and significant
cyberattacks or cybersecurity threats directed
against software, communications networks, com-
puter networks, or other systems employed by the
entities and concerns described in paragraphs (1)
through (3) of subsection (a).

(2) An assessment of—

(A) any planned cyberattacks directed
against such software, networks, and systems;

(B) any significant vulnerabilities to such
software, networks, and systems; and

(C) how such entities and concerns are
mitigating such vulnerabilities.

(3) An update on the status of the efforts of
the Coast Guard to include cybersecurity concerns in
the National Response Framework, Emergency Support Functions, or both, relating to the shipping or ports of the United States.

SEC. 605. REPORT ON COUNTER-MESSAGING ACTIVITIES.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Homeland Security for Intelligence and Analysis shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees a report on the counter-messaging activities of the Department of Homeland Security with respect to the Islamic State and other extremist groups.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of whether, and to what extent, the Secretary of Homeland Security, in conducting counter-messaging activities with respect to the Islamic State and other extremist groups, consults or coordinates with the Secretary of State, regarding the counter-messaging activities undertaken by the Department of State with respect to the Islamic State and other extremist groups, including counter-messaging activities conducted by the Global Engagement Center of the Department of State.
(2) Any criteria employed by the Secretary of Homeland Security for selecting, developing, promulgating, or changing the counter-messaging approach of the Department of Homeland Security, including any counter-messaging narratives, with respect to the Islamic State and other extremist groups.

SEC. 606. REPORT ON REPRISALS AGAINST CONTRACTORS OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY.

(a) REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Inspector General of the Intelligence Community shall submit to the congressional intelligence committees a report on reprisals made against covered contractor employees.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) Identification of the number of known or suspected reprisals made against covered contractor employees during the five-year period preceding the date of the report.

(2) An evaluation of the usefulness of establishing in law a prohibition on reprisals against covered contractor employees as a means of encouraging such contractors to make protected disclosures.
(3) A description of any challenges associated with establishing in law such a prohibition, including with respect to the nature of the relationship between the Federal Government, the contractor, and the covered contractor employee.

(4) A description of any approaches taken by the Federal Government to account for reprisals against non-intelligence community contractors who make protected disclosures, including pursuant to section 2409 of title 10, United States Code, and sections 4705 and 4712 of title 41, United States Code.

(5) Any recommendations the Inspector General determines appropriate.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term "covered contractor employee" means an employee of a contractor of an element of the intelligence community.

(2) The term "repraisal" means the discharge, demotion, or other discriminatory personnel action made against a covered contractor employee for making a disclosure of information that would be a disclosure protected by law if the contractor were an employee of the Federal Government.
THE CHAIRMAN: I will now recognize Mr. Himes for an amendment.

MR. HIMES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My intention here is to offer an amendment, but to withdraw it. And let me just briefly explain the amendment.

In the last year, the Authorization Act constrained the PCLOB's purview away from reviewing covert programs. This concerned me because the PCLOB, in my estimation, is an important body that provides a check on the activities of the Intelligence Community to make sure that it is not violating the civil rights of Americans. It is possible that those violations could occur in covert programs. I am offering and withdrawing this amendment because my colleague, Mr. Conaway, raised some concerns about both mission and resources associated with the PCLOB, and I have not had an opportunity to independently look into those considerations. I think they are important and should be taken into account.

I do know, however, that the PCLOB has produced reports that have been important to me, I think to this committee, that have been cited in multiple times by FISA opinions, and by the amicus that was appointed as a result of the U.S.A. Freedom Act that advised on a recent reauthorization of authorities for 702.

I also expect that the PCLOB's report which I would commend to my colleagues would be very, very important to the discussion we have over 702 reauthorization. I also agree with the ranking member that it serves an important purpose in assuring the general public and our constituents that there is, in fact, somebody out there who is looking hard, apart from us in closed rooms at the activities of the Intelligence Committee.

I do take Mr. Conaway's concerns seriously though and I do intend to dig into the sense of mission and resources used by the PCLOB. So I will both
submit and take this opportunity to withdraw this amendment in the expectation that we can continue this discussion in the future.

With that I yield back.

THE CHAIRMAN: So the gentleman withdraws his amendment. I thank the gentleman for offering the amendment and withdrawing it. I think we had a good debate in here the other day, and we will continue to conduct strong oversight so that all sides are represented as it relates to the PCLOB.

Do any other members wish to be recognized for an amendment?

Seeing no further amendments, would any other members like to be heard on the unclassified portions of the bill? Mr. Quigley.

MR. QUIGLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This year’s Intelligence Authorization Act provides funding, oversight all in direction to vital collection and analysis programs. It also provides guidance of how best to support and leverage our partners and allies, which is critical in the world of shrinking budgets and ever-increasing threats. This is why I am happy to support this year’s IAA. Russia remains a significant threat to its neighbors and to the U.S. Bolstering our partners in Eastern Europe is one key way to check Russia’s increasing adventurism.

Looking ahead, we will stay focused on this threat and we will also continue to focus on our National Security programs at home. We cannot allow ourselves to get lulled into a false sense of security simply because of a lack of information about specific threats against soft targets like stadiums and our cities. We must look ahead and do everything we can to constantly assess risks and take
appropriate steps to stop attacks before they occur.

Thank you and I yield back.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Quigley. Now my mic is -- there it is. It is back on, Ms. Sewell is recognized.

MS. SEWELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am proud to support this year’s Intelligence Authorization Act. As the ranking member on the DOD Intelligence and Overhead Architecture Subcommittee, I am pleased that the language and direction in this bill continues to advance our capabilities on the ground and in space and provides necessary oversight of many critical DOD, NRO and NGA programs. But the strength of our Intelligence Community is its people.

This bill continues to support the incredible men and women that work day and night to keep us safe. But it also emphasizes the urgent need to recruit and retain a more diverse workforce. For example, I am pleased that this year’s bill directs each intelligence agency to use existing summer internship positions and funding to support our Centers for Academic Excellence program, encouraging geographical diversity among its students to take part in the IC internship program.

Another provision I am proud to support requires that the DNI collect information relating to federally-funded academic program scholarships, fellowships, and internship administered, or used by the IC so we can determine whether our investment in these programs leads to competitive employees entering the IC workforce. This year’s bill also directs the CIA and the office of the Director of National Intelligence to collect data on how often they award contracts to women and minority-owned businesses, and to launch a new pilot program to better allocate contact resources to those businesses.

This is not only an equity issue, but a good governance issue. Increasing
diversity in the IC makes us all better because it encourages unique ways of problem-solving, which is increasingly necessary as we face more complex intelligence challenges. Diversity is an issue I will continue to champion. I am grateful to both sides of the aisle for also encouraging such diversity, and I yield back the balance of my time.

THE CHAIRMAN: The gentlelady yields back. Mr. Carson is recognized.

MR. CARSON: Thank you, Chairman, thank you Ranking Member Schiff. I am very happy to support this year's Intelligence Authorization Act, which includes several provisions I am especially pleased with: a provision directing the Office of the Director of National Intelligence to publicly list all logos, symbols, insignia and other markings commonly associated with terrorist organizations will help provide, public and private entities, and recognize violent extremist content. This will significantly help efforts to counter this content, and, more broadly, counter terrorist messages, particularly online, the most fertile ground for terrorist recruitment.

I am also pleased that the committee will now receive more information from the IC, including threat assessments and operational impact statements regarding foreign investments made in the United States. We ought to support legitimate investments in our economy, but we also have to consider the counterintelligence and security implications of foreign investment, particularly by certain countries looking to enhance their intelligence collection inside of the United States.

Another important provision in this year's bill, Mr. Chairman, seeks to understand and deconflict both the Department of Homeland Security and the Department of State's Countering Violent Extremists program, or CVE, to ensure
CVE messaging at both agencies is effective and, where necessary, complementary. Throughout the next year I will intend to keep looking for ways to improve our law enforcement intelligence capabilities while remaining deeply focused on ensuring those activities and authorities are transparent, appropriate, and serve the needs of every community in our country. Violent extremism has its roots overseas as well as at home, and we must work equally to counter both.

I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: The gentleman yields back. Mr. Murphy.

MR. MURPHY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. In order to ensure the Intelligence Community has what it needs to keep us safe, and in order to provide strict oversight of each and every intelligence program, I proudly support this year's Intelligence Authorization Act. One strength of this year's bill is that it seeks to address potential problems before they become actual ones. It does so, for example, by requiring a report on the cybersecurity threats to computer systems employed by our seaports and transshipment points, something of particular concern to us in Florida. That requirement will help raise awareness and spur much-needed action. We in government, and in the private sector, need to prepare as much as we can from a mass cyber attack in the maritime industry.

I am also pleased that the IAA includes a provision updating reporting requirements on Iran's compliance with the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action, or JCPOA, and another on the unique threats emanating from maritime narcotics smuggling routes. I am proud to support this year's IAA and applaud my colleagues on all of their hard work on this important bill.

Thank you. I yield back.

THE CHAIRMAN: The gentleman yields back. Mr. Swalwell is
recognized.

MR. SWALWELL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Also, thank you to the ranking member and the staff on both sides for their hard work. I, also, am proud to support this year's Intelligence Authorization Act. As the ranking member of the CIA Subcommittee, I am pleased that the bill promotes our HUMINT capabilities, which I believe still remain at risk, and could benefit from an even greater focus within the IC.

I am also pleased the IAA includes, as a standalone provision, the Foreign Fighter Tracking Bill that Representative LoBiondo and I brought to the floor earlier this year which was passed there with a very, very strong vote. The bill also includes a provision requiring a report from the office of the Director of National Intelligence, analyzing the status of loan forgiveness and debt counseling programs across the IC and the viability of an IC-wide program. We must continue to recruit and retain the best, brightest, and most diverse to public service; determining the best incentive packages available to young intelligent officers; coupled with the draw of the critical mission of the IC, will help to us continue to do just that.

I am also pleased the bill calls for a report from the Departments of Homeland Security and Energy on their current utilization of national labs expertise and opportunities for areas of expansion, programs that I know have great value for my congressional district is home to Lawrence Livermore and Sandia National Laboratories. Just as we must train and retain the best and brightest of the IC, we must also continue to leverage the great talent found at our national labs.

Finally, I will continue to use my position on this committee to bring greater
attention to the dire situation facing the Mosul Dam. Should the dam break, millions of people will potentially be in significant danger, a threat we cannot ignore. I look forward to working with my colleagues in the IC to reverse the tide on this critical issue.

Thank you and I yield back.

THE CHAIRMAN: The gentleman yields back. Ms. Speier is recognized.

MS. SPEIER: Mr. Chairman, thank you. I, too, join with my colleagues in appreciating the comity that exists in this committee, for your leadership, Mr. Chairman, and for the great support that our ranking member always provides us, and to our diligent staff that does a truly remarkable job.

I am pleased to support this budget bill, in part, because it will provide provisions that encourage greater graduate education opportunities to employees of the Defense Intelligence Agency, and a provision that will lead to improved mental health resilience programs available to IC civilians returning from tours in combat zones. I also will continue to monitor how well the IC elements are protecting whistleblowers who seek to shed light on problems in their organizations. I am also happy the bill calls for a study on reprisals against IC contractors who make important disclosures to oversight bodies, and streamlines the process for whistleblowers to report their complaints directly to Congress.

There is also a provision within this bill that will guarantee that citizens who seek declassification of IC documents are not unduly burdened by that cost. And I support that as well.

And with that I yield back, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: I thank the gentlelady for her comments, and I, too, agree with the gentlelady from California in that we have to make sure that this
committee remains a place where folks within the IC can come forward if they have concerns about how they are being treated, or any other issues that may arise. So I thank Ms. Speier for her comments.

Any other members wish to be recognized?

All right. Seeing no further members wishing to be heard, we have completed consideration of the legislative provisions of the bill. We will now move to consider the classified schedule of the authorizations and accompanying Annex.

Earlier this week the committee staff presented to members a detailed description of the classified Schedule of Authorizations and the accompanying classified Annex. I appreciate the thoroughness of that briefing and the robust discussion we had on the key elements of the classified authorizations. If any members wish to have another discussion on the classified authorizations, we will move to closed session to do so. Staff are available to answer any questions members may have.

Do any members wish to discuss the classified Schedule of Authorizations or the classified Annex?

Seeing none, the chairman's mark has already been adopted as base text by reference. Without objection, the Schedule of Authorizations will be considered as read and open to amendment at any point. If there are any amendments, we will move to closed session to consider them.

Do any members wish to be recognized for an amendment?

If there are no amendments, the question is on adoption of the Schedule of Authorizations for fiscal year 2017. Those in favor will say aye.

Those opposed, no.

In the opinion of the chair, the ayes have it and the Schedule of
Authorizations is adopted. The committee has now considered and adopted the classified Schedule of Authorizations. The chair moves to favorably report the bill H.R. 5077, including, by reference, the classified Schedule of Authorizations. Without objection, the previous question is ordered, those in favor will say aye.

Those opposed no.

In the opinion of the chair the ayes have it, the motion is adopted and H.R. 5077 is ordered reported favorably to the House. Without objection, the motion to reconsider is laid upon the table. The committee will now consider whether to make the classified Schedule of Authorizations and the accompanying classified Annex to the committee's report available for Members of the House to review.

The chair moves for the committee to make the classified Schedule of Authorizations and the classified Annex to the committee's report available for members of the House to review. Without objection, the previous question is ordered and the clerk will call the roll.

THE CLERK: Chairman Nunes?

THE CHAIRMAN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Chairman Nunes votes aye.

Mr. Miller?

MR. MILLER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Mr. Miller votes aye.

Mr. Conaway?

MR. CONAWAY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Mr. Conaway votes aye.

Mr. King?
MR. KING: Aye.

THE CLERK: Mr. King votes aye.

Mr. LoBiondo?

MR. LOBIONDO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Mr. LoBiondo votes aye.

Mr. Westmoreland?

[No response.]

THE CLERK: Mr. Rooney?

MR. ROONEY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Mr. Rooney votes aye.

Dr. Heck?

DR. HECK: Aye.

THE CLERK: Dr. Heck votes aye.

Mr. Pompeo?

MR. POMPEO: Aye.

THE CLERK: Mr. Pompeo votes aye.

Ms. Ros-Lehtinen?

MS. ROS-LEHTINEN: Aye.

THE CLERK: Ms. Ros-Lehtinen votes aye.

Mr. Turner?

MR. TURNER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Mr. Turner votes aye.

Dr. Wenstrup?

DR. WENSTRUP: Aye.

THE CLERK: Dr. Wenstrup votes aye.
Mr. Stewart?

MR. STEWART: Aye.

THE CLERK: Mr. Stewart votes aye.

Mr. Schiff?

MR. SCHIFF: Aye.

THE CLERK: Mr. Schiff votes aye.

Mr. Gutierrez?

[No response.]

THE CLERK: Mr. Himes?

MR. HIMES: Aye.

THE CLERK: Mr. Himes votes aye.

Ms. Sewell?

MS. SEWELL: Aye.

THE CLERK: Ms. Sewell votes aye.

Mr. Carson?

MR. CARSON: Aye.

THE CLERK: Mr. Carson votes aye.

Ms. Speier?

MS. SPEIER: Aye.

THE CLERK: Ms. Speier votes aye.

Mr. Quigley?

MR. QUIGLEY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Mr. Quigley votes aye.

Mr. Swalwell?

MR. Swalwell: Aye.
THE CLERK: Mr. Swalwell votes aye.

Mr. Murphy?

MR. MURPHY: Aye.

THE CLERK: Mr. Murphy votes aye.

Mr. Chairman, there are 20 ayes and zero noes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Nick. The motion is adopted and the classified Schedule of Authorizations and the classified Annex to the committee's report will be available for members of the House to review.

I ask unanimous consent that the staff be authorized to make any necessary technical, grammatical, and conforming changes to the bill, and the Schedule of Authorizations just reported, including conforming the legislative text to any final changes in the Schedule of Authorizations and the classified Annex.

Without objection, it is so ordered. I ask unanimous consent that the committee authorize the use of proxy voting in any conference committee with the Senate on H.R. 5077, or any similar legislation passed by the Senate.

Without objection, it is so ordered.

I ask unanimous consent that the committee's security director review the transcript of the meeting and make any necessary redactions of classified information.

Finally, I note that all members who wish to do so will have not less than 2 additional calendar days to file supplemental minority or additional views to accompany the committee's report to the House on H.R. 5077, pursuant to clause 2(l) of House Rule 11.

If there is no further business -- any further business? Without objection, the meeting is now adjourned.